United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/763,794 | 01/22/2004 | Christopher lan Dallman | PC25687A 7927 | |
| 28523 PFIZER INC. | 7590 07/05/200 | 72007 EXAMINER | | IINER |
| | ARTMENT, MS8260- | 1611 | RAE, CHARLESWORTH E | |
| | EASTERN POINT ROAD GROTON, CT 06340 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 1614 | *** |
| | | *. | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| • | | | 07/05/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | 10/763,794 | DALLMAN ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Charleswort Rae | 1614 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | |
| Period fo | • • | / IC OFT TO EVOIDE 4 MONTH | (C) OR THIRTY (20) DAYS | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DYNSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | • | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja | anuary 2007. | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| ' 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)□ |) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a |)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received in Applicati | ion No | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| * \$ | See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | |
| Attachmen | | A) 🗖 1-1 | (DTO 442) | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D | | | | |
| 3) Infor | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | Patent Application | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/763,794

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Status of Claims

Claims 1-1/24 are currently pending in this application and are the subject of this Office

action.

Election of Species

This application contains claims encompassing the following patentably distinct solid forms of

sildenafil citrate; namely,

1) hydrated solid form,

2) non-hydrated solid form;

The composition species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite

the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants

of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required to elect one specific disclosed species from the above list for examination

purposes e.g. non-hydrated solid form.

Additionally, if applicant elects above species #1 (i.e. hydrated solid form), then applicant is

further required to elect one of the below hydrated solid species for examination purposes:

a) hydrated solid form with sildenafil hemi-citrate, or

b) hydrated solid form without sildenafil hemi-citrate.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on

the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, and 12 are generic to the above species.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually

exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different

classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art

applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant <u>must</u> indicate which of these <u>claims are readable</u> on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charlesworth Rae whose telephone number is 571-272-6029. The examiner can normally be reached between 9 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Monday to Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,794 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached at 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http:pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 800-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

25 June 2007 CER

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER